



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/781,623	02/20/2004	Benny Allan Greene	011299.51271C3	4123
23911 7	590 07/12/2004		EXAM	INER
CROWELL & MORING LLP			ANDREA, BRIAN K	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON DC 20044-4300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3662	

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Annication No	Applicant(s)			
f	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/781,623	GREENE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Brian K Andrea	3662			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Y Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 F	ebruary 2004.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2004 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2015.	e: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected or b) ☒ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No. <u>08/983,469</u> . red in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/781,623

Art Unit: 3662

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 1st and 2nd paragraphs, as the claimed invention is not described in such full, clear, concise and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to make and use the same, and/or for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the Applicant regards as the invention.

There is insufficient support, structural or even theoretical, to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to make and use any mode of the present invention without undue speculation and invention. The principle of triangulation is not an issue; it is how it is achieved that will constitute the invention and an adequate disclosure under the law. The concepts have no support in physical reality at this scale that covers the globe. The claims state a desired result without the basic supporting techniques and/or apparatus to achieve such a result. These claims do not meet the minimum requirements defined in MPEP § 2172.01 where the essential matter that is depicted by proper disclosures such as in the references of record must also be included in the present application including the claims. If Applicant's are alleging that there is an

Application/Control Number: 10/781,623

Art Unit: 3662

apparatus known in the art to achieve the invention, then it must be identified sufficiently to enable one to make and use what is then considered to be novel. There is yet no way of determining when a satellite is illuminated by four different measuring beams all within 50ns of each other. How can it be alleged that this is known and where is the support for such? How is the exact position of each measuring station measured "in different countries"? There are as yet no steps to the claimed method.

Specification

4. The specification is objected as above under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 1st and 2nd paragraphs.

Drawings

5. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83 in that every feature claimed must be shown, in particular an operative embodiment. No attempts have been made to meet this objection in the parent cases. Any amendment must address this issue or be held "non-responsive."

Conclusion

6. This is a continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 10/452,142. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**

Application/Control Number: 10/781,623

Art Unit: 3662

even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 7. The references of record have been cited as in the parent applications.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian K Andrea whose telephone number is (703) 605-4245. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Tarcza can be reached on (703) 306-4171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/781,623

Art Unit: 3662

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BKA

BKA

29 June 2004

BERNARR E. GREGORY PRIMARY EXAMINER

A, 4, 3662